



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/910,270	07/19/2001	Thomas E. Creamer	BOC9-2000-0058 (193)	2916
40987	7590	07/12/2005		EXAMINER
AKERMAN SENTERFITT				NGUYEN, TOAN D
P. O. BOX 3188				
WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33402-3188			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2665	

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/910,270	CREAMER ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Toan D. Nguyen	<b>Art Unit</b> 2665

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 March 2005.

2a)  This action is **FINAL**.                            2b)  This action is non-final.

3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

4)  Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application.  
4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
5)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
6)  Claim(s) 1-44 is/are rejected.  
7)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
8)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)  The drawing(s) filed on 24 September 2001 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.

    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

    Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a)  All b)  Some \* c)  None of:  
1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.  
4)  Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.  
5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  
6)  Other: \_\_\_\_\_.  
\_\_\_\_\_

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-6, 8-14, 16-17 and 21-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gifford et al. (US 6,549,612).

For claim 1, Gifford et al disclose unified communication services via e-mail, comprising:

inserting in an e-mail message a voice communication identifier (figure 2, col. 4 lines 35-40 and col. 5 lines 25-37);

transmitting said e-mail message to a recipient (col. 6 lines 25-37); and responsive to said recipient selecting said voice communication identifier (col. 6 lines 15-37), establishing a voice communications link with said recipient (col. 6 lines 47-65 and col. 7 lines 28-30).

For claim 2, Gifford et al disclose wherein said inserting step further comprises the step of inserting in said e-mail message a selectable symbol denoting voice communication availability (col. 6 lines 15-37).

For claim 3, Gifford et al disclose wherein said inserting step further comprises the step of:

inserting in said e-mail message a reference to a sender of said e-mail message (figure 2, col. 4 lines 35-40 and col. 5 lines 25-37); and

embedding computer program code in said e-mail message, wherein said computer program code is configured to establish a voice communications link with said sender (col. 6 lines 25-37 and col. 7 lines 55-66).

For claim 4, Gifford et al disclose wherein said establishing step comprises the step of responsive to said recipient selecting said voice communications identifier, executing said embedded computer program code in order to establish a voice communications link with said sender (col. 6 lines 15-65 and col. 7 lines 28-30).

For claim 5, Gifford et al disclose wherein said establishing step comprises the step of responsive to said recipient selecting said voice communications identifier (col. 6 lines 15-65 and col. 7 lines 28-30), determining a link address for said sender based on said reference, and executing said embedded computer program code in order to establish a voice communications link with said sender according to said determined line address (figure 5, reference step 500, col. 14 lines 38).

For claim 6, Gifford et al disclose wherein said link address is a telephone number (col. 14 lines 38).

For claim 8, Gifford et al disclose wherein said establishing step comprises the step of responsive to said recipient selecting said voice communications identifier (col. 6

lines 15-65 and col. 7 lines 28-30), establishing a Voice over IP (VoIP) based voice communications link with said recipient (col. 11 lines 3-4).

For claim 9, Gifford et al disclose wherein said establishing step comprises the step of responsive to said recipient selecting said voice communications identifier (col. 6 lines 15-37), establishing a telephony-based voice communications link with said recipient over a public switched telephone network (PSTN) (col. 11 lines 1-4).

For claim 10, Gifford et al disclose unified communication services via e-mail, comprising:

detecting a voice communication identifier in an e-mail message transmitted by a sender (figure 5, reference 510, col. 14 lines 44-53);

responsive to detecting said voice communications identifier (col. 6 lines 15-37), displaying a selectable icon (col. 6 lines 47-53); and

responsive to a selection of said icon, establishing a voice communications link with said sender (col. 6 lines 56-61).

For claim 11, Gifford et al disclose wherein said establishing step comprises the step of extracting from said e-mail message embedded computer program code configured to establish a voice communications link with said sender (col. 6 lines 53-61 and col. 14 lines 44-50); and responsive to said selection of said icon, executing said embedded computer program code in order to establish a voice communications link with said sender (col. 6 lines 47-61).

For claim 12, Gifford et al disclose the step of extracting an embedded reference to said sender from said e-mail message (col. 6 lines 53-61 and col. 14 lines 44-50).

For claim 13, Gifford et al disclose wherein said executing step further comprises the step of:

determining a link address for said sender based on said extracted reference (figure 5, reference step 500, col. 14 lines 38), and  
executing said embedded computer program code in order to establish a voice communications link with said sender according to said determined line address (col. 6 lines 53-61).

For claim 14, Cloutier discloses wherein said link address is a telephone number (col. 14 lines 38).

For claim 16, Gifford et al disclose wherein said establishing step comprises the step of responsive to said recipient selecting said voice communications identifier, establishing a Voice over LP (VoIP) based voice communications link with said recipient (col. 11 lines 3-4).

For claim 17, Gifford et al disclose wherein said establishing step comprises the step of responsive to said recipient selecting said voice communications identifier, establishing a telephony-based voice communications link with said recipient over a public switched telephone network (PSTN) (col. 11 lines 1-4).

For claim 21, Gifford et al disclose unified communication services via e-mail, comprising:

inserting in an e-mail message a voice communication identifier (figure 2, col. 4 lines 35-40 and col. 5 lines 25-37);

transmitting said e-mail message to a recipient (col. 6 lines 25-37); and

responsive to said recipient selecting said voice communication identifier (col. 6 lines 15-37), establishing a voice communications link with said recipient (col. 6 lines 47-65 and col. 7 lines 28-30).

For claim 22, the claim is directed to the same subject matter in claim 2. Therefore, it is subjected to the same rejection.

For claim 23, the claim is directed to the same subject matter in claim 3. Therefore, it is subjected to the same rejection.

For claim 24, the claim is directed to the same subject matter in claim 4. Therefore, it is subjected to the same rejection.

For claim 25, the claim is directed to the same subject matter in claim 5. Therefore, it is subjected to the same rejection.

For claims 26 and 34, the claims are directed to the same subject matter in claim 6. Therefore, they are subjected to the same rejection.

For claims 27 and 35, the claims are directed to the same subject matter in claim 7. Therefore, they are subjected to the same rejection.

For claims 28 and 36, the claims are directed to the same subject matter in claim 8. Therefore, they are subjected to the same rejection.

For claims 29 and 37, the claims are directed to the same subject matter in claim 9. Therefore, they are subjected to the same rejection.

For claim 30, Gifford et al disclose unified communication services via e-mail, comprising:

detecting a voice communication identifier in an e-mail message transmitted by a sender (figure 5, reference 510, col. 14 lines 44-53);

responsive to detecting said voice communications identifier (col. 6 lines 15-37), displaying a selectable icon (col. 6 lines 47-53); and

responsive to a selection of said icon, establishing a voice communications link with said sender (col. 6 lines 56-61).

For claim 31, the claim is directed to the same subject matter in claim 11.

Therefore, it is subjected to the same rejection.

For claim 32, the claim is directed to the same subject matter in claim 12.

Therefore, it is subjected to the same rejection.

For claim 33, the claim is directed to the same subject matter in claim 13.

Therefore, it is subjected to the same rejection.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 7, 15, 18-20 and 38-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gifford et al. (US 6,549,612) in view of Funk et al (US 5,937,162).

For claims 7, 15 and 18, Gifford et al disclose extracting from said e-mail message embedded references to said sender and displaying a corresponding selectable icon (col. 8 lines 49-67 and col. 14 lines 47-50).

However, Gifford et al do not disclose at least one other recipient of said e-mail message and displaying for each of said at least one other recipient. In an analogous art, Funk et al disclose at least one other recipient of said e-mail message and displaying for each of said at least one other recipient (figure 1, reference 114, col. 5 lines 66-67). Funk et al disclose wherein said link address is an IP address (as set forth in claims 7 and 15).

One skilled in the art would have recognized at least one other recipient of said e-mail message and displaying for each of said at least one; other recipient to use the system of Funk et al in the system of Gifford et al. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use the at least one other recipient of said e-mail message and displaying for each of said at least one other recipient as taught by Funk et al in Gifford et al's with the motivation being to provide the information to the end-user terminals through the Internet (col. 5 lines 56-58).

For claim 19, Gifford et al disclose wherein said executing step further comprises the step of:

responsive to a selection of one of said selectable icons, identifying a corresponding recipient (col. 6 lines 15-65 and col. 7 lines 28-30), determining a link address for said corresponding recipient based on said extracted reference, and executing said embedded computer program code in order to establish a voice communications link with said sender according to said determined line address (figure 5, reference step 500, col. 14 lines 38).

For claim 20, Gifford et al disclose wherein said executing step further comprises the step of:

responsive to a selection of two or more of said selectable icons, identifying a corresponding recipient (col. 6 lines 15-65 and col. 7 lines 28-30), determining a link address for said corresponding recipient based on said extracted reference (figure 5, reference step 500, col. 14 lines 38), and

executing said embedded computer program code in order to establish a voice communications link with said sender according to said determined line address (figure 5, reference step 500, col. 14 lines 38).

For claim 38, the claim is directed to the same subject matter in claim 18. Therefore, it is subjected to the same rejection.

For claim 39, the claim is directed to the same subject matter in claim 19. Therefore, it is subjected to the same rejection.

For claim 40, the claim is directed to the same subject matter in claim 20.

Therefore, it is subjected to the same rejection.

6. Claims 41-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martino, II (US 5,680,551) in view of Gifford et al. (US 6,549,612).

For claims 41-43, Martino, II discloses electronic messaging method of and system for heterogeneous connectivity and universal and generic interfacing for distributed applications and processes residing in wide variety of computing platforms and communication transport facilities, comprising:

a message header component encapsulating a reference to at least one of a sending node (figure 5, reference SENDING COMPUTER) in the network and a recipient node (figure 5, reference RECEIVING COMPUTER) in the network (col. 10 lines 28-29);

a text message component encapsulating message text (col. 1 lines 30-36).

However, Martino, II does not disclose message text which can be extracted from the electronic message and displayed in a message client; and an executable voice communications link program component configured to established a voice communications link between said sending and recipient nodes. In an analogous art, Gifford et al. disclose message text which can be extracted from the electronic message and displayed in a message client (col. 6 lines 47-53 and col. 14 lines 47-50); and an executable voice communications link program component configured to established a voice communications link between said sending and recipient nodes (col. 6 lines 53-61).

Gifford et al. disclose further wherein said voice communications link is a Voice over IP (VoIP) based communication link (col. 11 lines 1-4 as set forth in claim 42); wherein said voice communications link is a telephony-based link (col. 11 lines 1-4 as set forth in claim 43).

One skilled in the art would have recognized message text which can be extracted from the electronic message and displayed in a message client to use the teachings of Gifford et al in the system of Martino, II. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use the message text which can be extracted from the electronic message and displayed in a message client as taught by Gifford et al in Martino, II's system with the motivation being to provide the extended functionality and power gained in sending an enriched e-mail message (including a user interface) as compared to a conventional text only e-mail messages (col. 5 lines 54-57).

7. Claim 44 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gifford et al. (US 6,549,612) in view of Martino, II (US 5,680,551) further in view of Funk et al (US 5,937,162).

For claim 44, Gifford et al disclose unified communication services via e-mail, comprising:

a conventional e-mail processor (figure 1, col. 3 lines 54-55), said conventional e-mail processor extracting and displaying message text in a received e-mail (col. 6 lines 47-53 and col. 14 lines 47-50); and

a processor (figure 1, col. 3 lines 54-55), said processor identifying a voice communication link identifier in said received e-mail (col. 6 lines 53-61), displaying a selectable icon in response to detecting said voice communication link identifier (col. 6 lines 53-66) and, responsive to a selection of said selectable icon, establishing a voice communications link with a sender of said received e-mail (col. 6 lines 53-61).

However, Gifford et al do not disclose message text encapsulated in a received e-mail. In an analogous art, Martino, II discloses message text encapsulated in a received e-mail (col. 1 lines 31-33).

One skilled in the art would have recognized message text encapsulated in a received email to use the teachings of Martino, II in the system of Gifford et al. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use the message text encapsulated in a received e-mail as taught by Martino, II in Gifford et al's system with the motivation being to produce at each final destination (col. 1 lines 33-36).

Moreover, Gifford et al in view of Martino, II does not disclose a voice conversation processor. In an analogous art, Funk et al disclose a voice conversation processor (figure 2, reference 218, col. 6 line 34).

One skilled in the art would have recognized a voice conversation processor to use the teachings of Funk et al in the system of Gifford et al. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use the voice conversation processor as taught by Funk et al in Gifford et al's system with the motivation being included in service processing system (col. 6 line 32).

***Response to Arguments***

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-44 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Toan D. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-3153. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00AM-4:30PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on 571-272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

*TN*  
TN



MAN U. PHAN  
PRIMARY EXAMINER